

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_109660
Title of the Manuscript:	Facial Analysis of the Igbo Ethnic Group of Nigeria for the Evaluation of Sexual Dimorphism
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?   6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it also refers to results previously obtained by other authors, agreeing with them or refuting them. At the same time, the study reveals the problem of gender differences in the soft tissues of the face in a new way, being very useful in the field of craniofacial surgery and criminology.  2. The title of the article fully reflects the content of the article.  3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.  4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript comply with the rules for formatting articles.  5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The manuscript provides data on the average values and standard deviations of the numerical values of the studied characteristics. There is also information about the p-level of significance. However, in my opinion, the work lacks visual graphic material.  6. Most of the references are quite new; the manuscript contains references to works including those from 2023, but a number of references are a little old. Moreover, there is a link without indicating the year of publication, designed without compliance with the rules for designing links. I recommend that the author consider replacing references with works older than 10 years, if possible.	Noted
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, this English language is suitable for scientific communication. The reviewer is not a native English speaker, but read the manuscript without difficulty.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It was very interesting to read this manuscript. I find it useful, and I consider the work itself as a fundamental article. Future work in this area can be expanded, for example, to provide a comparative description of the Igbo ethnic group and other small and large ethnic groups in Africa. I also recommend expanding statistical data processing and presentation.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	